RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 2 5 2005

Docket No. 740756-2205

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)	
Ritsuko KAWASAKI et al.) Confirmation	No. 2171
Application No. 09/651,889) Group Art Ur	nit: 2891
Filed: August 30, 2000) Examiner: D	ana Farahani
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE,)	
MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF AND ELECTRONIC) July 25, 2005	;
DEVICE)	
)	

SECOND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 23, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims.

In the most recent Office Action, the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 1, 11-16 and 21-24, and newly rejected claim 18, under 35 U.S.C. §102, as allegedly being anticipated by Mano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,294,796). The Action also maintained the rejection of claims 2, 3, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103, as allegedly being obvious over the Mano et al. patent in view of Japanese patent publication no. [4]04152676A (hereinafter, "the '676 document"), and the Section 103 rejection of claims 10 and 17 over Mano et al. in view of Japanese patent publication no. 2001028338 (hereinafter, "the '338 document"). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Starting at page 5, section 6 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that Applicants arguments filed in the response dated December 28, 2004, were not considered persuasive. More specifically, in response to Applicants' argument with respect to claims 1-3 that the